Memorandum

To: Board of Selectmen

From: Carl L. Quiram, PE, Director of Public Works

Date: March 4, 2007

Re: Misrepresentation of Facts in Various Media

Over the past several months there has been a lot of discussion about the costeffectiveness of the work that DPW does. As I said in the workshop that we did last week, I have no problem assessing cost-effectiveness but I would like to do it in a manner that is also useful to our overall mission. If the data gathered can also be used by the department to aid our long-term planning it would seem to be a win-win for everyone. I wanted to take a few minutes to address a few specific items.

There has been a lot of focus on the in-house reclamation work that the department does as part of the overall road plan work. A couple of you were very surprised that we did not track our time on each project we did. For the public record I wanted to address that. By way of history, the original road plan that was adopted in 2002 anticipated that the major reclamation work would be contracted out. The DPW staff would concentrate on the maintenance portions of the plan (I would also point out that our primary responsibility needs to be maintenance). For the past couple of years, with the extreme rising cost of petroleum based products, we have seen construction costs rise at a staggering rate. To combat those increases and try to keep the road plan work on track, the DPW crew began to squeeze some smaller reclamation jobs into our normal summer work. We found that they worked out very well. The reason we never tracked our time is that we were focused on the road plan budget. MicroPAVER models all of our roads and plans the road budget on a cost per square foot basis. We never tracked our labor costs because we were not paying for our labor or equipment out of the road plan money. All we tracked were the road plan monies that were spent on each project. If you add labor and equipment, would DPW still be cheaper? Obviously, this is a legitimate question to ask. Last summer, as we were dealing with FEMA on the flood related projects, the staff at DPW began having the discussion about tracking our costs (including labor and equipment) on all of our projects. The thought being that we could easily provide the figures to FEMA or the state or anyone else that needed backup for reimbursement. When we began construction on the Henry Bridge Rd and Center Street project we decided to use it as a test case. Attached you will find our detail to

date on that project. I would also point out that the equipment costs in this analysis are based on the published FEMA rates. We are in the process of calculating our true hourly costs for our equipment. The caveat that I would attach to those figures is that due to the traffic in that project, the roundabout, the amount of closed drainage and the amount of granite that will not be a typical project. Whether done in-house or contracted it would be a very expensive project. What it does give me however, is a weekly average estimate for our equipment and labor. Going back through the past 3 years Selectmen's reports from DPW, I was able to establish the timeline for the inhouse projects. Attached is a spreadsheet I prepared comparing some of our inhouse projects and the projects we put out to bid. One thing to point out is that the contracted projects do go a little guicker. This is due to the fact that the contractors generally have more staff (ie. 2 pipe crews) dedicated to a job and they do not constantly have to pull equipment out to deal with other maintenance emergencies that come up. To give an order of magnitude estimate on our in-house jobs, I added in the weekly average cost for labor and equipment from the Henry Bridge Road project to the last 3 in-house jobs that we did. This is not an exact cost; however, it provides a rough estimate. I attached a spreadsheet with this analysis to this memo. It is our intention to continue to track labor and equipment on projects that we do in the future. As you can see, on our typical jobs, even if the labor were to double, it is still far cheaper than the contracted work.

The reason that I spent the time reviewing our in-house reclamation work above is that it is important to the discussion of the excavator which has become a lightning rod of misquotes and misrepresentation in the media. I want to set the record straight on the purchase of the excavator. DPW has contended and demonstrated in the analysis above the need for us to be able to do work in-house more efficiently. We could not get the volume of work needed completed without the excavator (or any other proper tool) and a qualified operator to run it. We have rented the same excavator that we just purchased for the past 3 years. The rental of that machine has been a major factor in us being able to save over \$900,000 in cost to the Town in performing the work done in-house for the road plan. If this was private business, we would not even be having this discussion. I know that I am preaching to the choir because you folks ultimately approved the purchase. During the 2006 budget deliberations the \$100,000 needed to purchase the excavator was placed as a special article on the ballot. The Board of Selectmen at that time also reduced the road plan by the \$100,000 so that it would not impact taxes. That special article failed, however, the financial need for the excavator did not diminish. As a matter of fact, with the floods last year that machine was instrumental in our being able to respond to the crisis. When we began our 2007 budget (with the CIP committee) we included the buyout of the excavator in the budget. It was thoroughly discussed by that committee and approved. It was again discussed by the Board of Selectmen and approved and it was discussed by the Budget Committee and approved. It was included in all the published budget reports for the 2007 budget as line 10-49020-70595 for a new excavator. The notion that I somehow snuck the purchase of the excavator in by scraping together money from elsewhere in the budget is preposterous. I simply brought the purchase to the Board for approval early to try to save 3 months worth of interest payments on a piece of equipment that I knew we would be buying in March. In fact, because we bought it when we did we actually saved over \$7,000. There is also a misperception that I can just make decisions in a vacuum and not get approval for what we do. For the record, I would point out that by our Town's Purchasing Policy (unless waived by the Board) any purchase over \$25,000 needs to be done by sealed bid awarded by the Board of Selectmen. I would also point out that the Board has seen the plans for work next summer and has approved that plan last week. The long-term list of projects is supplied to the Budget Committee and Selectmen each year in the budget process. There is a copy of the list given to the Board of Selectmen attached to this memo. The majority of the roads on the list are maintenance overlays and maintenance crack sealing roads. DPW will be reclaiming 3 roads, beside Henry Bridge Rd and Center Street, which are carry over projects from last year. Everything else on the list is contracted out.

There is a perception out there that somehow I benefit from all the items that we get in the budget. There have been several times that "You can't always get what you want' or that I "am never satisfied" have appeared in print or has been said at a meeting. I would point out that it is my job to identify the capital needs of the infrastructure in this community and I in no way profit from anything other than my salary. As a matter of fact, the more money that DPW gets in the budget, the more work the department and I have to do. My salary does not change either way. I am beginning to understand why so many public officials take the easy road and just do the bare minimum to get by instead of trying to do everything possible to save the taxpayers money.

There has been a statement made that the sewer rates were increased because of the road plan. This could not be further from the truth. As a matter of fact, the road plan has saved both the Town and the Sewer Commission a considerable amount of money by coordinating our efforts and working together to make necessary upgrades and repairs to the sewer system while road work is being done anyway. There are many reasons for the sewer rate increase and none of them are directly attributable to DPW. The Sewer Commission hired a consultant to do a rate study. The report recommended the rate increase to offset increasing contract rates from the City of Manchester, pay for Manchester capital upgrades that Goffstown owed a share of as well as a number of other factors.

I also want to point out that Pay As You Throw (PAYT) has not been brought forward or recommended by DPW in the past two years. As a matter of fact, we are quite satisfied with the success of the Single Stream Recycling program. We see no reason to further evaluate Pay As You Throw in Goffstown unless the Board of Selectmen sees the need to further improve our recycling rates. It is a proven fact that PAYT communities out perform us with recycling rate, however, we are very successful for communities that choose not to implement PAYT. It remains true that the more we could recycle the more money we would save, however, we certainly would never recommend abandoning automated collection because the savings in recycling pale in comparison to the savings from that method of collection.

There has recently been an attempt to raise controversy with the pick up and go home provision in the union contract. This provision in the union contract pre-dates me and even pre-dates automated collection. It goes back to the days when we had two trash trucks and 6 men collecting trash. It was an incentive to try to get them to work as hard as they could to get done. Today, we have one man and one truck picking up trash and one man and one truck picking up recycling. One of our drivers averages about 38 hours per week, the other averages 40-41 hours per week. They are extremely efficient, each picking up over 5,000 stops in a 4 day week. I do not understand the controversy with that item. Our trash and recycling program is the most efficient in the business (looking at both private and municipal).

Last week the Board spent a considerable amount of time discussing the cost effectiveness of the Vaccon truck. Many of the things that the Board thought we should be tracking is already being done. I have attached a spreadsheet of our analysis. Currently, we perform contracted maintenance cleaning of 1/3 of the sewer system each year for the Sewer Commission. We then bill them for that time. When the Vaccon was purchased, the Sewer Commission paid a share of the cost and they also pay a portion of our annual maintenance costs for the vehicle. Last year we cleaned 45,409 linear feet of sewer main. We tracked our hours and billed them for that work. We researched charges in other towns that have contractors perform that work. In drainage we also clean all of our 800 catch basins each year. We do this to be in compliance with our NPDES permit from EPA. We not only have to report how many we cleaned but also how much grit was removed. This all appears on our annual stormwater report that the Board of Selectmen sees. We have not kept track of the manhours we spend doing drain cleaning because it was not important information, however, we will begin tracking it this season. Again, you can see that if we assume that we expend the same amount of labor on drainage cleaning as sewer cleaning and compare that to a contracted rated paid by other communities we are over \$10,000 per year. This also provides a vehicle that we use regularly to deal with emergency blockages that occur regularly.

In closing, I want to publicly state that the DPW staff remains committed to providing the best possible service to the community at the lowest possible cost. We receive calls weekly from other communities around New England that want to come up and see how we do things. EPA and NHDES regularly refer other towns to Goffstown to see how things should be done. We have become a benchmark by which other communities are measured and compared. We welcome any questions and evaluate any criticism with an open mind. Please feel free to contact the department with any questions or problems you have in the future.

Project Name: Henry Bridge Road & Center Street Road Reclaimation

Summary of Labor Costs To Date

Week Ending	Amount	Equip. \$
09/24/06	\$ 1,669.84	\$ 1,975.75
10/01/06	\$ 6,693.40	\$ 6,096.88
10/08/06	\$ 8,396.64	\$ 8,684.75
10/15/06	\$ 7,583.10	\$ 8,073.50
10/22/06	\$ 5,932.11	\$ 6,726.13
10/29/06	\$ 6,695.13	\$ 7,638.88
11/05/06	\$ 7,005.37	\$ 7,150.25
11/12/06	\$ 4,832.52	\$ 5,541.00
Call Ins	\$ 432.37	\$ 228.00
11/19/06	\$ 7,603.50	\$ 8,229.75
11/26/2006	\$ 3,051.86	\$ 4,195.50
12/3/2006	\$ 3,550.28	\$ 3,257.88
12/10/2006	\$ 2,037.30	\$ 2,065.75
12/17/2006	\$ 543.69	\$ 503.75
Sub Total	\$ 66,027.12	\$ 70,367.75

Summary of Contract Services to Date

Date	Vendor	Invoice #	Amount
9/21/2006	AHH Harris	590691	\$ 1,970.10
9/29/2006	Nations Rent	9319622-001	\$ 997.50
11/6/2006	Sunbelt Rentals	9319622-002	\$ 1,002.46
11/21/2006	Ray Gamache Ex.	541	\$ 7,400.00
11/28/2006	Gurall Gradall	466	\$ 855.00
11/28/2006	Goffstown Police	AR2019	\$ 5,400.00
11/29/2006	Goffstown Police	AR2022	\$ 3,680.00
11/30/2006	Continental Paving	7771	\$ 52,580.00
12/4/2006	Sunbelt Rentals	9319622-003	\$ 1,002.46
12/4/2006	John Karanikas	06-1204	\$ 800.00
12/13/2006	Continental Paving	7829	\$ 14,820.00
12/13/2006	Markings Inc.	20579	\$ 395.00
	Sub Total		\$ 90,902.52

Grand Total to Date

Category	Sub Totals
Materials	\$ 51,934.01
Labor	\$ 66,027.12
Contract Services	\$ 90,902.52
Equipment	\$ 70,367.75
Grand Total	\$ 279,231.40

Summary of Materials to Date

Date	Vendor	Invoice #	Amount
10/9/2006	Public Works Supply		\$ 3,584.00
10/11/2006	Public Works Supply		\$ 20,155.11
10/27/2006	Public Works Supply		\$ 7,480.00
10/31/2006	Central Concrete		\$ 13,584.60
11/2/2006	Central Concrete		\$ 5,587.50
12/5/2006	Central Concrete		\$ 1,542.80
	Sub Total		\$ 51,934.01

(1) Please note that equipment costs are based on FEMA allowances and are not actual cost to Town

PROJECT	SQ YD	TIME (wks)	то	TAL COST	CC	ST/YD	
Contracted Out Projects	6						
First Ave Neighborhood	22,376		\$	877,511	\$	39.22	
Mountain Base Road	11,394		\$	426,033	\$	37.39	
Tirrell Hill Road	9,111		\$	391,894	\$	43.01	
High Street	18,286	10	\$	1,044,180	\$	57.10	Avg Cost \$ 44.29
Tirrell Hill Rd Ph II	17,162	7	\$	708,674	\$	41.29	
Black Brook Road	26,880	13	\$	1,282,433	\$	47.71	
In-house Projects							
Raymond & Lucille Dr	3934		\$	13,361	\$	3.40	
Plimpton Road	1,040		\$	7,632	\$	7.34	
Orchard Hill	4,815		\$	14,737	\$	3.06	
Eagles Nest	3,056		\$	19,049	\$	6.23	
Gold Finch	7056	4	\$	66,101	\$	9.37	incl. labor & equip. est. from Henry Bridge Rd
Snow Rd	1,120	2	\$	26,500	\$	23.66	incl. labor & equip. est. from Henry Bridge Rd
Helen Cir & Stephen Dr	22,124	18	\$	445,931	\$	20.16	incl. labor & equip. est. from Henry Bridge Rd
Henry Bridge & Center	9,344	13 to date	\$	279,231	\$	29.88	incl. Labor to date (& FEMA equip est.)
DPW Total for last	4 Jobs		\$	817,763			
Contracted Cost Estimate	for last 4	jobs	\$ 1	,755,746.04			
Savings for past 3 years			\$	937,982.64			

То

То

top of the hill

Town Line

Overlay Paving (Maintenance) Janice Drive	From Joyce Dr	To Thomas	Overlay Paving (Maintenance)	From
Davignon & Roosevelt	Joyce Di	momas	Ginger Drive Sage Ct	
Pinard Street Mill & Overlay			Wallace Rd	Normar
Elm Street shimming			Alene Drive	Nomina
Lindsey Way	old turnaround	Monarch	Horizon Drive	
Amoskeag Dr			Katherine St	
Monarch Dr			Marion St	
Miles Ave			McElroy St	
Tipping Rock & Worthley Hill	Both sides of Mou	untain Base	Pershing St	
Walnut Hill			Ridge St	
Summit Road Shim			Lorraine St	
Sidewalks				
Center Street	Juniper Dr	Grange Hall		
Crack Sealing (Maintenance)	From	То	Crack Sealing (Maintenance)	From
Parker Road			Barnard Lane Parking Lot	
Depot Street			Parks & Recreation Parking Lot	
Factory Street			Town Hall Parking Lot	
Transfer Station & DPW Lot			Police Department Parking	
Mast Road	Tibbetts Hill	luninar	Fire Department Parking Lots	
Center Street Tibbetts Hill Road	Orchard	Juniper Town Line	Tyler Drive Worthley Hill Road	Wallace
Wallace Road	High School	Town Line	Goffstown Back Road	vvaliaci
Kennedy Hill Road	Addison	Shirley Hill	Clancy	
Walnut Hill Road	/ tadioon	Crimicy rim	Joffre	
Stacy Lane			Van Buren Circle	
Crestwood Ln			Orchard Hill	
Ridgewood Ln			Libby Street	
Ryan Rd			Haig Street	
Benjamin			McQuigan Street	
Acorn Rd			Alpine	
Rockland Street			Regina	
Greer Road			Friendship	
New Road Jason Drive			Harvey	
Diamond Lane			Gold Finch	
Emerald Circle			Evergreen Ashlar Drive	
Silver Lane			Ashlar Circle	
Mooseclub Road			Bailey Ct	
Circle Lane			Knollcrest Dr	
Portage Road			Locker Rd	
Paige Hill	Locust Hill	Stinson	Back Mountain Rd	
Hillcrest			Moreau Street	
Heather Hill			Juniper Drive	
Honeysuckle			Raymond Road	
Bayberry			Lucille Drive	
Jasmin Lane			Plimpton Road	
Cinnamon Drive			Orchard Hill	
Ginger Drive			Eagles Nest	
Pinardville Heights Main Street			Parker Station Road Saunders Road	
East Dunbarton Rd	new section by Po	ollard	Montelona Road	
East Dunbarton Rd	Montelona Rd	Town Line	Worksona Noau	
Gorham Pond Road				
Reclamation (New Reconstruction)	From	То		
Center Street	Tirrell Hill Rd	Juniper		
Henry Bridge Road	Center Street	Greer Road		
Mountain Road	Knollcrest	Lesnik Rd		
Hillsdale	Mountain Rd	End		
Hunters Ct	Mountain Rd	End		

End End Route 13

End End

Mountain Rd Mountain Rd Gorham Pond Rd

Snook Rd Tenney Rd

Hillsdale
Hunters Ct
Hampton Ct
Snook Road
Tenney Rd
Willow Ct

Annual Routine Maintenance Work for Vaccon

Capital Cost	\$ 168,000.00
Annualized Capital Cost	\$ 16,800.00
2006 Maintenance Cost	\$ 9,842.36

Sewer (Billed to and paid by Sewer Commission)

	Length (LF)	Labor	Equip Cap	Αı	nn. Maint.	9	3/LF	Annual Cost
Main Cleaning (1/3 of system)	45,409	\$ 6,065.06	\$ 4,200.00	\$	2,460.59	\$	0.28	\$ 12,725.65
Contracted Cleaning Cost	45,409					\$	0.40	\$ 18,000.00

Drainage	# Basins	Labor	Equip Cap	Ann. Maint.	\$/Basin	Annual Cost
Annual CB Cleaning	800	\$ 6,065.06	\$12,600.00	\$ 7,381.77	\$ 32.56	\$ 26,046.83
Contracted Cleaning Cost	800				\$ 39.00	\$ 31,200.00

Estimated Annual Savings for In-house vs. Private

\$10,427.52